

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/650,627	Applicant(s) FALCONER, DARCY RAYMOND	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Pete Sommer.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005
Time: 3:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11, 18-19, 21 and 28-29

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner agreed to amend claims 11, 18, 21 and 28 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment and to cancel claims 19 and 29 in order to place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that he would file a Rule 312 Amendment after receipt of the Notice of Allowability in order to obviate various informalities in the specification.